SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002

(3)
(5)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4670 /2006
(SS)

PETITIONER

Shri Kishan Lal Kashyap, S/o.Shri Ram Lal Kashyap, Aged about 42 years, Working as Assistant Teacher in Government Primary School, Mehanda, Development Block Nawagarh, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
25/08/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

P.R.No. 4708/06
Presented by Shri S.K. Sahu
dated 25/8/06

2. The District Education Officer, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

3. The Principal, Government Primary School, Mehanda, Development Block Nawagarh, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

4. The Collector, District Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS,ORDER/ORDERS,DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS IN NATURE OF WRITS OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER WRIT OF LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...WP...4670/06... **सन् 200**

103

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

31-8-2006

Mr. Ashok Soni, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

Heard.

The only grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is that as per the Rationalization Scheme, 2006 in case of surplus teachers in any school, then only the junior most teachers are to be included in the surplus list and to be transferred as per scheme. Whereas, in the present case, there are many junior teachers to the petitioner, but their names have not been included in the list and the name of the petitioner has been included in contravention of the scheme.

The petitioner has the remedy to file an appeal before the appellate authority as per clause-7 of the rationalization scheme and the appellate authority is bound to consider the grievance of the petitioner, if the same is found correct according to the scheme, and to correct the same.

Since the petitioner has equally efficacious alternative remedy available, therefore, he should prefer an appeal before the appellate authority and the authority to consider the points raised by the petitioner.

The time taken by the petitioner in filing this petition till its disposal shall be condoned in preferring the appeal before the appellate authority.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3605/2006 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge